AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

This listing of claims replaces all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

1. (Previously Presented) In a computer system that includes a results evaluation sub-system for evaluating test results generated by a test of an object that typically produces different resulting output for different test environments, a method for creating consistent evaluation of the object across multiple testing environments without having to generate or maintain new or additional test instructions for varying environments, the method comprising the following:

an act of receiving actual test results, the actual test results being generated as a result of performing a test in a test environment;

an act of receiving environmental data that defines the test environment under which the actual test results are generated;

in response to the received environmental data, an act of selecting one or more expected test results from a results retrieval sub-system that includes a plurality of expected test results for the same test executed in different test environments, each of the plurality of expected test results being keyed by environmental conditions representing a particular test environment for comparing with the received environmental data when attempting to find a match therewith; and

an act of evaluating the actual test results against the selected one or more expected test results to determine if the test was successfully performed in the test environment.

2. (Original) The method as recited in claim 1, wherein the act of receiving actual test results comprises an act of receiving actual test results that were collected at a results collection sub-system.

Request for Reconsideration Communication "B" dated 9/22/2006

Reply to Office Action mailed August 23, 2006

3. (Original) The method as recited in claim 1, wherein the act of receiving actual

test results comprises an act of receiving actual test results from the test module that generated

the actual test results.

4. (Original) The method as recited in claim 1, wherein the act of receiving actual

test results comprises an act of receiving actual test results generated from testing a software

object.

5. (Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 1, wherein the act of

receiving one or more expected test results from a results retrieval sub-system comprises an act

of receiving test results that were generated in a environment having one or more environmental

conditions that match the test environment.

6. (Original) The method as recited in claim 1, wherein the act of receiving one or

more expected test results from a results retrieval sub-system comprises an act of receiving

expected test results from a plurality of test entries in a results database, the expected results

from each test entry being results that indicate the test was successful in the test environment.

7. (Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 1, wherein the act of

receiving one or more expected test results from a results retrieval sub-system comprises an act

of receiving a network message from a second computer system that includes the results retrieval

sub-system, the second computer system being network connectable to the computer system.

8. (Original) The method as recited in claim 1, wherein the act of evaluating the

actual test results against the one or more expected test results comprises an act of comparing

field values in the actual test results to field values in the expected test results.

9. (Original) The method as recited in claim 1, wherein the act of evaluating the

actual test results against the one or more expected test results comprises an act of determining if

one or more actions were performed.

Page 3 of 13

Request for Reconsideration Communication "B" dated 9/22/2006

Reply to Office Action mailed August 23, 2006

10. (Original) The method as recited in claim 9, wherein the act of determining if one

or more actions were performed comprises an act of determining if one or more actions were

performed in a specified order.

11. (Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 1, further comprising:

an act of sending the environmental data along with a test type indication to the

results retrieval sub-system for determining if a match exists between the environmental

conditions and the plurality of expected test results.

12. (Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 11, wherein the act of

receiving environmental data that defines the test environment under which actual test results are

generated comprises an act of receiving environmental data from an environment discovery

module.

13. (Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 11, wherein the act of

receiving environmental data that defines the test environment under which actual test results are

generated comprises an act of receiving environmental data from the test module that executed

the test.

14. (Original) The method as recited in claim 1, further comprising:

an act of sending a results update to the results retrieval sub-system, the results

update including at least the actual test results.

15. (Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 1, further comprising:

an act of sending evaluation results to an analysis sub-system, the evaluation

results including at least the actual test results and an indication of whether the test was

successful.

Page 4 of 13

16.

system for retrieving expected results of a test of an object that typically produces different resulting output for different test environments, a method for creating consistent evaluation of

(Previously Presented) In a computer system that includes a results retrieval sub-

the object across multiple testing environments without having to generate or maintain new or

additional test instructions for varying environments by retrieving expected results that are to be

used to determine the successfulness of a test, the method comprising the following:

receiving environmental data that defines a test environment under which actual

test results are generated indicating that a test was performed in the test environment;

in response to the received environmental data, selecting one or more expected

test results from a results database that includes a plurality of expected test results for the

same test executed in different test environments; and

sending the selected one or more expected test results to a results evaluation sub-

system in response to receiving the environmental data, wherein each of the one or more

expected test results are keyed by environmental conditions representing one or more

particular test environments for comparison with the received environmental data when

attempting to find a match therewith.

17. (Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 16, wherein the act of

receiving environmental data that defines a test environment comprises an act of receiving

environmental data from a results collection sub-system.

18. (Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 16, wherein the act of

receiving environmental data that defines a test environment under which actual test results are

generated comprises an act of receiving environmental data from a results evaluation sub-system.

19. (Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 16, wherein the act of

receiving environmental data that defines a test environment under which actual test results are

generated comprises an act of receiving environmental data that represents the test environment.

Page 5 of 13

Request for Reconsideration Communication "B" dated 9/22/2006

Reply to Office Action mailed August 23, 2006

20. (Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 16, wherein the act of

receiving environmental data that defines a test environment under which actual test results are

generated comprises an act of receiving environmental data from a second computer system that

includes the results evaluation sub-system, the second computer system being network

connectable to the computer system.

21. (Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 16, wherein the act of

receiving environmental data that defines a test environment under which actual test results are

generated comprises an act of receiving a test type along with the environmental data, the test

type indicating a specified type of test was executed in an environment represented by the

environmental data.

22. (Original) The method as recited in claim 21, wherein the act of receiving a test

type along with the environmental data, the test type indicating a specified type of test was

executed in an environment represented by the environmental data comprises receiving an

indication that a software object was tested in an environment represented by the environmental

data.

23. (Original) The method as recited in claim 16, wherein the act of selecting one or

more expected results from a results database based on the received environmental data

comprises an act of comparing environmental conditions stored in the results database to

environmental conditions represented in the received environmental data.

24. (Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 16, wherein the act of

selecting one or more expected results from a results database based on the received

environmental data comprises an act of selecting one or more expected results having a

corresponding environmental condition that must match an environmental condition contained in

the received environmental data.

Page 6 of 13

Request for Reconsideration Communication "B" dated 9/22/2006

Reply to Office Action mailed August 23, 2006

25. (Original) The method as recited in claim 16, wherein the act of selecting one or

more expected results from a results database based on the received environmental data

comprises an act of selecting one or more expected that with corresponding environmental

conditions having a specified commonality to environmental conditions contained in the received

environmental data.

26. (Original) The method as recited in claim 16, wherein the act of selecting one or

more expected results from a results database based on the received environmental data

comprises an act of selecting a plurality of expected results from the results database.

27. (Original) The method as recited in claim 16, further comprising:

an act of receiving a results update from the results evaluation sub-system, the

results update included actual test results from a test executed in a new test environment;

and

an act of storing the actual results in the results database such that the actual

results can be used to determine the successful of subsequently executed tests.

28. (Previously Presented) A computer program product for use in a computer system

that includes a results evaluation sub-system for evaluating test results generated by a test of an

object that typically produces different resulting output for different test environments, the

computer program product for implementing a method for creating consistent evaluation of the

object across multiple testing environments without having to generate or maintain new or

additional test instructions for varying environments, the computer program product comprising

one or more computer-readable storage media having stored thereon computer executable

instructions that, when executed by a processor, cause the computer system to perform the

following:

receive actual test results, the actual test results being generated as a result of

performing a test in a test environment;

receive environmental data that defines the test environment under which the

actual test results are generated;

in response to the received environmental data, select one or more expected test

results from a results retrieval sub-system that includes a plurality of expected test results

for the same test executed in different test environments, each of the plurality of expected

results being keyed by environmental conditions for comparison with the received

environmental data when attempting to find a match therewith; and

evaluate the actual test results against the one or more expected test results to

determine if the test was successfully performed in the test environment.

29. (Previously Presented) The computer program product as recited in claim 28,

wherein the one or more computer-readable storage media further comprise computer-executable

instructions that, when executed by a processor, cause the computer system to perform the

following:

send the environmental data along with a test type indication to the results

retrieval sub-system for determining if a match exists between the environmental

conditions and the plurality of expected test results.

Page 8 of 13

Request for Reconsideration Communication "B" dated 9/22/2006

Reply to Office Action mailed August 23, 2006

30. (Previously Presented) The computer program product as recited in claim 28,

wherein the one or more computer-readable storage media further comprise computer-executable

instructions that, when executed by a processor, cause the computer system to perform the

following:

send a results update to the results retrieval sub-system, the results update

including at least the actual test results.

31. (Previously Presented) The computer program product as recited in claim 28,

wherein the one or more computer-readable storage media further comprise computer-executable

instructions that, when executed by a processor, cause the computer system to perform the

following:

send evaluation results to an analysis sub-system, the evaluation results including

at least the actual test results and indication of whether the test was successful.

32. (Previously Presented) The computer program product as recited in claim 28,

wherein the one or more computer-readable storage media are physical media.

33. (Previously Presented) The computer program product as recited in claim 28,

wherein the one or more computer-readable storage media include system memory.

Page 9 of 13

34. (Previously Presented) A computer program product for use in a computer system

that includes a results retrieval sub-system for retrieving expected results of a test of an object

that typically produces different resulting output for different test environments, the computer

program product for implementing a method for creating consistent evaluation of the object

across multiple testing environments without having to generate or maintain new or additional

test instructions for varying environments by retrieving expected results that are to be used to

determine the successfulness of a test, the computer program product comprising one or more

computer-readable media having stored thereon computer executable instructions that, when

executed by a processor, cause the computer system to perform the following:

receive environmental data that defines a test environment under which actual test

results are generated indicating that a test was performed in the test environment;

in response to the received environmental data, select one or more expected test

results from a results database that includes a plurality of expected test results for the

same test executed in different test environments; and

send the selected one or more expected test results to a results evaluation sub-

system in response to receiving the environmental data, wherein each of the one or more

expected test results are keyed by environmental conditions representing one or more

particular test environments for comparison with the received environmental data when

attempting to find a match therewith.

35. (Previously Presented) The computer program product as recited in claim 34,

wherein the one or more computer-readable storage media further comprise computer-executable

instructions that, when executed by a processor, cause the computer system to perform the

following:

receive a results update from the results evaluation sub-system, the results update

included actual test results from a test executed in a new test environment; and

store the actual results in the results database such that the actual results can be

used to determine the successful of subsequently executed tests.

Page 10 of 13

Application No. 10/606,497 Attorney Docket No. 13768.404 Request for Reconsideration Communication "B" dated 9/22/2006 Reply to Office Action mailed August 23, 2006

- 36. (Previously Presented) The computer program product as recited in claim 34, wherein the one or more computer-readable storage media are physical media.
- 37. (Previously Presented) The computer program product as recited in claim 34, wherein the one or more computer-readable storage media include system memory.